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5. **CFD METHODOLOGY**

Airflow and heat transfer within a fluid are governed by the principles of conservation of mass, momentum, and thermal energy. In order to predict the airflow and temperature, as well as the distribution of contaminants at any given point in the animal room space, CFD techniques are used to represent the fundamental laws of physics describing fluid flow and heat transfer.

5.1 **Methodology Overview**

This section outlines the fundamental aspects of CFD, the equations utilized, and the methodology adopted with respect to the problem at hand.

5.1.1 **What is CFD?**

Computational fluid dynamics can be summarized by the following definitions:

**Computational**

The computational part of CFD means using computers to solve problems in fluid dynamics. This can be compared to the other main areas of fluid dynamics, such as theoretical and experimental.

**Fluid**

When most people hear the term fluid they think of a liquid such as water. In technical fields, fluid actually means anything that is not a solid, so that both air and water are fluids. More precisely, any substance that cannot remain at rest under a sliding or shearing stress is regarded as a fluid.

**Dynamics**

Dynamics is the study of objects in motion and the forces involved. The field of fluid mechanics is similar to fluid dynamics, but usually is considered to be the motion through a fluid of constant density.

CFD is the science of computing the motion of air, water, or any other gas or liquid.
5.1.2 **Overview of CFD**

The science of computational fluid dynamics is made up of many different disciplines from the fields of aeronautics, mathematics, and computer science. A scientist or engineer working in the CFD field is likely to be concerned with topics such as stability analysis, graphic design, and aerodynamic optimization. CFD may be structured into two parts: generating or creating a solution, and analyzing or visualizing the solution. Often the two parts overlap, and a solution is analyzed while it is in the process of being generated in order to ensure no mistakes have been made. This is often referred to as validating a CFD simulation.

5.1.3 **CFD Solutions**

When scientists or engineers try to solve problems using computational fluid dynamics, they usually have a specific outcome in mind. For instance, an engineer might want to find out the amount of lift a particular airfoil generates. In order to determine this lift, the engineer must create a CFD solution, or a simulation, for the space surrounding the airfoil. At every point in space around the airfoil, called the grid points, enough information must be known about the state of a fluid particle to determine exactly what direction it would travel and with what velocity. This information is called flow variables.

5.1.4 **Governing Equations of Fluid Dynamics**

The governing equations of fluid dynamics represent the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy for a fluid continuum. The conservation of mass states that mass cannot be created or destroyed, and the conservation of energy is similar. The conservation of momentum is simply Newton's Law of Motion (force = mass x acceleration) that is cast in a form suitable for fluid dynamics. Because the governing equations are the three conservation laws, they are also referred to as the conservation law equations. The governing equations receive their name because they determine the motion of a fluid particle under certain boundary conditions.

The governing equations remain the same, however, the boundary conditions will change for each problem. For example, the shape of the object may be different, or the speed of the undisturbed air may change. These changes would be implemented through a different set of boundary conditions. In general, a boundary condition defines the physical problem at specific positions. Fundamental boundary conditions include the no-slip condition at the interface between solid and fluid that leads to the formation of a wall boundary layer. Another is the fixed mass outlet where it is ensured that a constant mass flow is extracted from the solution domain at a specified plane.
The governing equations have actually been known for over 150 years. In the 19th century, two scientists, Navier and Stokes, described the equations for a viscous, compressible fluid, which are now known as the Navier-Stokes equations. These equations form a set of differential equations. The generic form of these relationships follow the advection diffusion equation, 5.1:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{div} \nabla + \operatorname{div} \nabla S = \text{source}
\]

The variable phi (\( \phi \)) represents any of the predicted quantities such as air velocity, temperature, or concentration at any point in the three-dimensional model. All subsequent terms are identified in section 5.6. This equation is derived by considering a small, or finite, volume of fluid. The left-hand side of the equation refers to the change in time of a variable within this volume added to that advected into it, minus the amount diffused out. This is in turn equal to the amount of the variable flux (i.e., momentum, mass, thermal energy) that is added or subtracted within the finite volume. Though deceptively simple, only the emergence of ever faster computers over the past two decades has made it possible to solve the real world problems governed by this equation.

Despite their relatively old age, the Navier-Stokes equations have never been solved analytically. The numerical techniques used to solve these coupled mathematical equations are commonly known as computational fluid dynamics, or CFD. At the present time, CFD is the only means of generating complete solutions.

The Navier-Stokes equations are a set of partial differential equations that represent the equations of motion governing a fluid continuum. The set contains five equations, mass conservation, three components of momentum conservation, and energy conservation. In addition, certain properties of the fluid being modeled, such as the equation of state, must be specified. The equations themselves can be classified as nonlinear, and coupled. Nonlinear, for practical purposes, means that solutions to the equations cannot be added together to get solutions to a different problem (i.e., solutions cannot be superimposed). Coupled means that each equation in the set of five depends upon the others; they must all be solved simultaneously. If the fluid can be treated as incompressible and nonbuoyant, then the conservation of energy equation can be decoupled from the others and a set of only four equations must be solved simultaneously, with the energy equation being solved separately, if required.

The majority of fluid dynamics flows are modeled by the Navier-Stokes equations. The Navier-Stokes equations also describe the behavior of turbulent flows. The many scales of motion that turbulence contains, especially its microscales, cause the modeling of turbulent processes to require an extremely large number of grid points. These simulations are performed today, and fall into the realm of what is termed direct numerical simulations (DNS). The DNS are currently only able to model a very small region, in the range of one cubic foot, using supercomputers. Differential equations represent differences, or changes, of quantities. The changes can be with respect to time or spatial locations. For example, in Newton’s Law of
Motion \((F = ma)\), the time rate of change of velocity, or acceleration, is equal to the force/unit mass. If the quantities depend on both time and space, the equations are written to take this into account and they are known as partial differential equations, or PDE’s. In most general formulations, the governing equations for physical phenomena are written in terms of rates of change with respect to time and space, or as partial differential equations.

### 5.1.5 Flow Variables

The flow variables contain information about the fluid state at a point in space. Enough information must be maintained in order to specify a valid fluid state; i.e., two thermodynamic variables, such as pressure and temperature, and one kinematic variable, such as velocity. A velocity will usually have more than one component, i.e., in three dimensions it will have three components.

In this research, the variables under consideration are the three components of velocity, pressure, temperature, concentration, and two variables characterizing turbulent levels: turbulent kinetic energy and its rate of dissipation.

Over the past 25 years, CFD techniques have been used extensively and successfully in the mainly high-end sectors, such as the nuclear and the aerospace industries. In its raw and general form, CFD has always been the forte of fluids experts. The recent concept of tailoring CFD software, combined with the expertise in heating and ventilation in buildings, has made it possible to apply these powerful methods to provide fast and accurate results to designers under severe time and budgetary constraints. In fact, this project would not have been practical without these new elements in place.

### 5.1.6 How Does it Work?

In order to generate a CFD solution, two processes must be accomplished, namely;

- geometry definition and grid generation
- numerical simulation

In broad terms, grid generation is the act of specifying the physical configuration to be simulated and dividing it up into a three-dimensional grid containing a sufficient number of small regions known as control volume cells so that the Navier-Stokes partial differential equations can be solved iteratively. Numerical simulation is the process of applying a mathematical model to that configuration and then computing a solution. These two stages are sequential. The grid generation is performed before any numerical simulation work can be done.
5.1.6.1  Grid generation

Grid generation is the process of specifying the position of all of the control volume cells that will define both the simulation’s physical configuration and the space surrounding it. Grid generation is one of the more challenging and time-consuming aspects of CFD because it involves creating a description of the entire configuration that the computer can understand. The model thus defined must include the relationship with the space surrounding the chosen model as well as the surfaces and processes contained within it. In both cases the most important factor is to maintain a suitable number of control volume cells in areas where there will be large or rapid changes occurring. These changes may be changes in geometry, such as a sharp corner of an object, or they may be sharp changes occurring in the flow field around the object, such as the edge of jet issuing from the diffuser. This is called maintaining a suitable grid resolution. Without a suitable grid resolution, valuable information can be lost in the numerical simulation process and the resulting solution can be misleading. Determining what exactly constitutes enough grid resolution is one of the most important jobs a CFD scientist or engineer performs. While too few control volume cells can lead to useless simulations, too many control volume cells can lead to computer requirements that cannot be fulfilled. A perfect example of this situation is trying to run the latest version of Microsoft Word on a 286 chip.

5.1.6.2  Numerical simulation

As with every other aspect of CFD, the numerical simulation process can also be broken into two steps, as follows:

1)  Modeling the Physics

If the user does nothing else, then the boundary surfaces of the solution domain are "zero flow" (i.e., symmetry surfaces). These have zero mass flow, zero surface friction, and zero heat transfer. The interior of the domain contains only fluid as defined by properties such as density, viscosity, and so on. Anything else, such as inflow or outflow, walls, internal objects, or heat gains or losses must be specified explicitly by the user. These are known as boundary conditions.

The locations of boundary conditions are defined in terms of six spatial coordinates (xS, xE, yS, yE, zS, zE), in meters, referenced from the origin located on one corner of the solution domain. In the case of a two-dimensional planar (flat) boundary condition (the shelves) the orientation is specified and the six coordinates degenerate to five. Additionally, some planar boundary conditions should only affect the fluid (e.g., an external boundary wall has only one surface present in the solution domain).

For accurate geometrical representations, the grid lines (surfaces of the control volume cells) can be forced to align with a boundary condition. If this is not done then the boundary condition will
“snap” to the nearest grid line in the final model. This type of allowance is often acceptable when setting up room geometries. The exact location of an item need not be clearly defined.

Below is a list, with brief descriptions, of the boundary conditions relevant to the approach taken in this study, referred to in the sections of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary Condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular Obstructions</td>
<td>Rectangular obstructions are three-dimensional, solid, rectangular objects, with faces aligned with x, y and z. Friction at all surfaces exposed to fluid is included. There are a number of possible thermal specifications: Fixed uniform heat flux at all surfaces Fixed uniform surface temperature Solve in solid (to investigate conduction through solid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Walls</td>
<td>External walls are walls at the edges of the solution domain, or exceptionally internal walls for which solution is required only on one side and “external” conditions can be applied on the other side. Surface friction is evaluated automatically and there are a number of thermal options: Prescribed heat flux Prescribed inner wall surface temperature Prescribed external temperature with detail of the heat transfer through the wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhuasts</td>
<td>Exhuasts represent any outflow of air, usually when driven using mechanical means. The flow rate is specified as: Fixed mass flow rate (kg/s) Linear pressure drop/flow rate fan characteristic External static pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openings</td>
<td>Openings are any opening through which fluid can enter or leave the domain as a result of pressure differences. The temperature and angle of flow of incoming air can be specified. It is also possible to represent, for example, a grille across the opening by setting a pressure drop (see Resistances).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistances</td>
<td>Resistances cover any kind of flow resistance (i.e., pressure drops) caused by porous items within the flow domain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two options are available:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar resistances:</td>
<td>These provide for areas where the resistance is thin and can be applied in one plane. The pressure drop is given by the expression:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ DP = f \frac{\rho}{2} v^2 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where</td>
<td>( DP ) Pressure drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( f ) loss coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \rho ) density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( v ) velocity of fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( b ) geometrical free area ratio of obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume resistances:</td>
<td>These provide for areas where the resistance occupies a significant thickness in the solution domain and resistance will occur in more than one direction. The pressure drop is the same as for a planar resistance, except that it is expressed as pressure drop per meter and the factor and free area ratio is required for each coordinate direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Coefficient and Free Area Ratio:</td>
<td>The loss coefficient will depend on the actual geometry of the item causing the pressure drop. This will be obtained from experiment or empirical relationships in textbooks. Care is needed as it may be set with respect to an approach velocity or device velocity. If the latter is chosen there will be an associated free area ratio so the program can correctly calculate the pressure drop. The free area ratio is not required if the setting is based on the approach velocity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sources | Planar and volume sources provide regions of defined source of heat or momentum, or fixed values of velocities, concentration, and/or temperature. The following options are available:  
Prescribed source of heat, concentration, and/or momentum  
Fixed values of velocity, concentration, and/or temperature  
Linear source of heat, concentration, and/or momentum given by the expression: 
\[ \text{source} = \text{coefficient} \times (\text{value} - \text{velocity or temperature}) \]  
The last option also allows the specification of a pressure drop that varies linearly with (velocity), rather than (velocity)\(^2\), which is defined through planar resistances. A pressure drop term is seen as a source term in the conservation of momentum equation (equation 5.3). A linear source of momentum can be arranged to replicate this term, as follows:  
\[ \text{Source} = \text{coefficient} \times (\text{value} - \text{velocity}) \]  
Considering the x-coordinate direction and setting value \( = 0 \), we obtain:  
\[ \text{Source}_x = -\text{coefficient}_x \times \text{velocity}_x \]  
Which can be seen to be equivalent to:  
\[ \text{DP} = -f_x \times \text{velocity}_x \] |
| Supplies | Supplies are to bring air in from outside, normally, conditioned air from the main plant. The flow is set using:  
Fixed mass flow rate (kg/s)  
Linear pressure drop/flow rate fan characteristic  
The temperature and angle of flow of incoming air can be specified. |
| Thin Walls | Internal thin walls are thin solid surfaces within the solution domain that are aligned with the grid. Solution is carried out on both sides. The walls are impervious to flow but it is possible to specify heat transfer across them. Surface friction (different on each side if required) is evaluated. |
| Triangular Prisms | Triangular prisms are solid objects with a triangular cross section, and with all faces except the sloping face aligned with x, y, and z. All surfaces are zero friction and only the sloping surface has heat transfer. This is specified in terms of a temperature and heat transfer coefficient, or, as a fixed heat flux. |
2) **Numerically Solving the Physical Model**

Integration is one of the cornerstones of calculus, the other being differentiation. In order to find the solution domain (the area under a solution curve) numerically, the curve would be chopped up into little pieces, and then the area under each little curve would be approximated. The sum of all of the approximate little areas would be close to the actual area under the curve. The difference between the actual and approximate areas is the numerical error. The object is to make this error so small it is not noticeable. In CFD, rather than integrating a relatively simple function like the equation for a curve, the governing equations of motion for a fluid continuum are integrated.

Let us consider a typical animal facility. The objective is to predict airflow, temperature, and concentration of any airborne contaminant at any point in the room space.

Figure 5.01 shows a set of design parameters such as

- the geometry and layout of the animal room
- the sources of heat and contaminants,
- as well as the position of exhaust and ventilation systems.

In order to do this, the three-dimensional space of the animal room is subdivided into a large number of control volume cells (figure 5.02). The size of the cells influences the detail and accuracy of the final results. In all the whole animal room cases, the number of grid cells ran into the hundreds of thousands, and, in some instances, totaled over one million grid cells.

The equations in each cell represent the mathematical definition of the equipment and phenomena contained within it. For example, a cell could encompass a volume that envelops the following:

- a representation of a group of mice
- or some heat source
- or just some air.

The CFD software will then attempt to solve the Navier-Stokes equation for a predetermined set of variables for each cell. In a typical three-dimensional calculation these variables would represent the following:

- velocities in three directions,
- temperature,
- pressure,
- concentration,
- and the turbulence quantities.
**Figure 5.01** Geometric Model

**Figure 5.02** Control Volume Cells
Note that the solution for each variable will depend on the solution for each and every variable in the neighboring cells and vice versa. The laws of physics based upon the conservation of mass, conservation of momentum, and conservation of energy must be preserved at all times. In this approach, turbulence is modeled using the established and robust two parameter method known as the k-epsilon model where k represents the kinetic energy and epsilon represents the rate of dissipation.

The mathematical solution is highly iterative, with each iteration resulting in a set of errors. At the end of each iteration the errors for each variable are summed, normalized with an acceptable error, and plotted against iteration number (figure 5.03). A solution is reached when the sums of the errors for each, and all the variables, reaches a pre-determined and acceptable level.

Each cell within the solution domain has eight equations associated with it: pressure, three velocities, temperature, two turbulence quantities, and concentration. An animal room model in this research typically has 100,000 to 600,000 cells, resulting in 4.8 to 6.4 million equations that have to be solved iteratively until the convergence criteria are satisfied. This extremely computer-intensive operation requires the use of powerful state-of-the-art workstations.

Figure 5.03 Iterative Convergence History of a Simulation
5.2 Description of Mathematical Model

5.2.1 Governing Equations

The generic form of the governing equations, shown by equation 5.1, can be expanded to form the three fundamental conservation laws that comprise the Navier-Stokes equations. These are

the conservation of mass:

\[ \frac{t}{t} \frac{U_i}{x_i} = 0 \] (5.2)

the conservation of momentum:

\[ \frac{U_i}{t} - \frac{U_iU_j}{x_j} - \frac{P}{x_j} - \frac{U_i}{x_j} + g_i = 0 \] (5.3)

and the conservation of thermal energy:

\[ \frac{H}{t} - \frac{U_iH}{x_i} - \frac{T}{x_i} - \frac{P}{t} = 0 \] (5.4)

These equations describe the behavior of fluids under both laminar and turbulent flow conditions. When calculating the flow in the built environment, one of the most important physical effects is that of turbulence.

5.2.2 Turbulence Modeling

For this project, an established and reliable approach to turbulence modeling is required to achieve the large number of calculations necessary for analysis of the many configurations. This section provides some background on the different approaches to modeling turbulence.

To model a turbulent flow, the temporal terms of equations 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 would have to have a time step \((dt)\) small enough to capture all turbulent fluctuations on even the smallest time scales. The same applies to all physical dimensions of the control volume cells \((dx_i)\) terms. They would have to be as small as that known as the Kolmogorov scale, which decreases nonlinearly with an increase in Reynolds number.
To overcome these limitations, variables are split into a mean and fluctuating component, i.e.:
\[
\begin{align*}
U & \quad U \\
H & \quad H \\
u & \quad h
\end{align*}
\] (5.5)

These are then substituted back into the instantaneous momentum equation, producing the following:
\[
\frac{x_j}{x_j} \quad \frac{U_i U_j}{x_j} \quad \frac{P}{x_i} \quad \frac{U_j}{x_j} \quad \overline{u_i u_j} \quad \varepsilon_i
\] (5.6)

This is known as the time averaged momentum equation. A similar equation exists for the enthalpy equation:
\[
\frac{x_j}{x_i} \quad \frac{U_i H}{x_i} \quad \frac{I}{x_i} \quad \overline{u_i h}
\] (5.7)

The extra terms produced by this substitution are:
- **Reynolds stress**: \( \overline{u_i u_j} \)
- **Reynolds flux**: \( \overline{u_i h} \)

A turbulent flow is characterized by the dominance of diffusion due to the Reynolds stresses and the fluxes over the diffusion due to laminar viscosity or laminar diffusivity of the fluid. The spread of contaminants in the animal room, in particular the determination of CO\(_2\) and NH\(_3\) levels in both the cages and within the room itself, is controlled strongly by the diffusion of the contaminant into the surrounding air volume. The role of turbulence modeling, to calculate the Reynolds stresses and fluxes, is therefore of vital importance in the accurate prediction of concentration spread in the cages and room.

The introduction of the Reynolds stresses and fluxes after decomposition of the turbulent fluctuating variables means that the equation set is now not closed. Some form of closure is required to model these fluxes and stresses. There have been a wide range of methods used to do this, varying from the most simple zero-equation models to the much more complex Reynolds stress transport equations. Figure 5.04 shows how these turbulence models relate to each other.

At the center of the zero-, one-, and two-equation models lies the analogy that where a laminar stress exists, so can an equivalent turbulent stress (i.e., Reynolds stress). A laminar shear stress is defined as:
\[
\frac{U_i}{x_j}
\]

(5.8)

So, if a fluid can have a laminar viscosity, \( \tau \), then a turbulent flow should have a turbulent or eddy viscosity, \( \tau \). By using the eddy viscosity hypothesis that Boussinesq proposed, we can relate the Reynolds stress to the mean strain by:

\[
\frac{\overline{u_i u_j}}{u_i u_j} - \frac{U_i}{x_j} - \frac{U_j}{x_i} = \frac{1}{2} k_s
\]

(5.9)

A zero-equation turbulence model simply sets a constant value of the eddy viscosity, or deduces it as an algebraic function of flow parameters. A one-equation model uses a differential equation to predict one part of the eddy viscosity while a two-equation model uses two differential equations.

The main limitation imposed at this stage by equation 5.9 is that the eddy viscosity is the same in all directions at any point. Where this may be true of laminar viscosity, which is a property of the fluid, it may not be true of turbulent viscosity, which is effectively a property of the flow. Therefore, this eddy viscosity can have differing values in relation to differing Reynolds stresses. This occurs when the turbulence is said to be anisotropic. Conditions that may cause anisotropy, and thus could invalidate the isotropic assumption of equation 5.9, include extreme streamline curvature, swirl, adverse pressure gradients, and buoyancy.

The two-equation approach including the standard \( k- \) model and the RNG \( k- \) model variant is presented first. Reynolds stress modeling is then discussed and, finally, the modeling of the Reynolds fluxes is briefly outlined.
EDDY VISCOSITY ASSUMPTION

\[ \frac{u_j u_j}{x_j} - \frac{\overline{U_i U_j}}{x_j} = \frac{\overline{U_i}}{x_j} - \frac{\overline{U_j}}{x_j} = k \frac{\varepsilon_i}{x_j} \]

from dimensional analysis it is shown that:
\[ \gamma = \text{const.} \quad \text{velocity scale} \quad \text{length scale} \]

REYNOLDS STRESS MODELS

the Reynolds stresses are each derived from their own transport equations

ZERO EQUATION

\[ \gamma = \text{constant or an algebraic function of a flow parameter such as Reynolds number} \]

ONE EQUATION MODELS

\[ \gamma = C \frac{\varepsilon_i}{l} \]

the velocity scale, \( k \), is derived from its own transport equation and the length scale, \( l \), is assumed constant

TWO EQUATION MODELS

both the velocity scale, \( k \), as well as a length scale, \( l \), are derived from their own transport equations

Figure 5.04 Tree of Turbulence Modeling
5.2.2.1 \( k-turbulence \) model

This turbulence model calculates two variables; the kinetic energy of turbulence (\( k \)) and the dissipation rate of \( k \) (denoted \( \varepsilon \)).

The eddy viscosity is defined from dimensional analysis as:

\[
\tau C \frac{k^2}{x_i}
\]

(5.10)

The transport equations for \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \) are:

\[
\frac{\overline{U}_i k}{x_i} \frac{x_i}{x_i} \frac{r}{k} = \frac{P}{G}
\]

(5.11)

\[
\frac{\overline{U}_i}{x_i} \frac{x_i}{x_i} \frac{r}{x_i} C_1 \frac{P}{k} C_2 G \frac{2}{k}
\]

(5.12)

where \( P \) is the shear production, defined as:

\[
P = \frac{\overline{U}_i}{x_i} \frac{\overline{U}_j}{x_j} \frac{\overline{U}_l}{x_l}
\]

(5.13)

\( G \) is the production of turbulence kinetic energy due to buoyancy, and is given by:

\[
G = \frac{\varepsilon}{T} \frac{T}{x_i}
\]

(5.14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( C )</th>
<th>0.09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C_1 )</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_2 )</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_3 )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This model has been tried and tested for a whole range of engineering applications. It is simple, but more importantly, it is stable. Only two extra differential equations are introduced. The convergence process is less prone to divergence than other, higher order turbulence models. This approach has been adopted for the present research.

5.2.2.2  

*Re-normalized group theory (RNG)* $k$  turbulence model

Essentially, this model has much the same form as the standard model. It is part empirical and part analytical. The only changes are a modified term relating to the production of energy dissipation in the equation and a different set of model constants. This RNG model is typical of those offered by some commercial, general purpose CFD codes. The new equations for $k$ and become:

\[
\frac{U_i k}{x_i} - \frac{\tau_{ij}}{k x_i} \quad P \quad G
\]

\[
\frac{U_i}{x_i} - \frac{J}{x_i} \quad C_i \quad C_{1\text{RNG}} \quad k \quad P \quad C_2 \quad \frac{2}{k}
\]

The new function $C_{1\text{RNG}}$ is given by the equations:

\[
C_{1\text{RNG}} = \frac{1}{1 + o^2}
\]

and:

\[
k = \frac{P}{k}
\]

In this case $o$ and $P$ are additional model constants. The latter should not be confused with the coefficient of thermal expansion. The main modification is to the equation, where the rate of strain of the flow has been incorporated into the model constants. Under conditions of extreme strain, the eddy viscosity is reduced. It is this feature of the RNG model that is said to accommodate strong anisotropy in regions of large shear, i.e., the treatment of massive separation and anisotropic large-scale eddies. Most validation of this model has been only under
extremely high strain conditions, such as internal flow in a 180° bend and flow within a contracting-expanding duct. Accurate prediction of separation regions seems to be the grail of the validation work. A more realistic range of softer type flows (i.e., less extreme strain) has not been studied with the RNG model. The infancy of this approach prevents it from being incorporated at this stage. When the model becomes as tried and trusted as the present standard $k$-$\varepsilon$ model, it will be given greater attention.

5.2.2.3 Reynolds stress models (second order closure models)

Instead of employing the eddy viscosity assumption, which assumes an equal eddy viscosity in all three spatial directions, a Reynolds stress model has an equation for each of the six Reynolds stresses themselves. This allows the modeling of the transport of each of these individual stresses. This is the most complex of all models and suffers accordingly. Instead of two extra equations we now have an extra seven. An equation for is still required because it pops up in the stress transport equations. Convergence stability now becomes a serious problem. Even if convergence is achieved, it normally takes considerably longer than with a two-equation model. Prescription of boundary conditions is also tricky. Instead of setting just $k$ and $\varepsilon$, we now have to set values at supply boundaries of all stresses, not the easiest of parameters to obtain from experimental measurement. The question has to be asked as to whether the added theoretical capabilities of an RSM are worth the increased solution time and decrease in stability.

5.2.2.4 Modeling of Reynolds fluxes:

The velocity-enthalpy correlations known as the Reynolds fluxes use much the same methodology as the Reynolds stresses. An eddy diffusivity is therefore defined as:

\[
\frac{u_i}{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{\bar{X}_i} \quad (5.19)
\]

where this eddy diffusivity is related to the eddy viscosity by:

\[
\frac{\tau}{\nu} = \frac{\tau}{\tau} \quad (5.20)
\]

where $\tau$ is the turbulent Prandtl number having a fixed value of 0.9. The next step up, as with a second order closure model, is to calculate each of the three fluxes from their own transport equations.
5.2.3. **Near Wall Treatment**

Fluid velocity at a wall surface is zero, which is known as the no-slip condition. The type of flow between the wall and the bulk flow is known as a shear layer, in this case, a wall boundary layer. The boundary layer is a very complex region of high velocity gradient and diffusion dominated development. To model it precisely would necessitate an extremely fine grid. An empirical relationship is therefore used to describe the shape of the boundary layer so that only one grid cell near the wall is required. This empirical relationship describes the shape of the boundary layer in nondimensional terms. Two nondimensional terms are formulated. These are the friction velocity:

\[ u = u' / u \]  \hspace{2cm} (5.21)

and a nondimensionalized distance from the wall (which can be viewed as a local Reynolds number):

\[ y = u' y / u \]  \hspace{2cm} (5.22)

These formulae are based upon the established ‘universal’ relationships:

For \( y \) 11.5 (turbulent): \( \frac{u}{u'} \frac{1}{0.435} \ln 9y \)  \hspace{2cm} (5.23)

For \( y \) 11.5 (laminar): \( \frac{u}{u'} \) \( y \)  \hspace{2cm} (5.24)

All that is required to deduce the wall shear stress from the near wall velocity is therefore the distance from the near wall cell center to the wall itself.

5.2.4 **Treatment of Contaminant**

A contaminant that is both advected and diffused by the fluid in which it is suspended can be modeled via the introduction of an additional transport equation. This concentration variable, \( C \), has the units of kg of species/kg of fluid, and obeys the time averaged equation of the conservation of concentration flux such that:
The velocity/concentration correlation, like the equivalent velocity/enthalpy correlation of equation 5.17, also follows a gradient hypothesis. In this case turbulent concentration diffusivity is calculated by:

$$D_t = \frac{1}{Sc_r}$$ (5.26)

Both the laminar and turbulent Schmidt numbers have a value of 1.0.

In this project, levels of the two considered animal emission gases, namely CO2 and NH3, were determined by the analysis of the distribution of such a concentration throughout the cages and room volume.

In the cases where the whole animal room was considered, the levels of CO2 and NH3 generated by the animals were small enough such that the concentration could be represented as a passive concentration. In particular, the density change produced by the presence of the gases could be considered insignificantly small. However, in the cage wind tunnel simulations, the level of CO2 injected into the cages was such that the gas affected the density of the gas/air mixture. The density of the gas/air mixture in these cases was calculated as follows:

The density formula is based on the Ideal Gas Law:

$$\text{Density} = \frac{\text{effective molecular weight}}{R \cdot T \cdot \text{datum pressure}}$$ (5.27)

where $R$ (universal gas constant) = 8314.4

$\text{datum pressure} = 1.0133 \text{E5 Pa}$

$\text{datum temperature} = 273.13 \text{ K}$

When the molecular weight of the concentration is different to that of the air, the effective molecular weight is calculated as:
This reduces to the normal Ideal Gas Law when the molecular weight of the concentration is the same as that of the air.

The harmonic average comes about by considering the volume that 1Kg of the mixture occupies namely:

\[
\frac{1}{\text{effective molecular weight}} \times \frac{1}{\text{concentration molecular weight}} \times \frac{f}{\text{concentration molecular weight}}
\]

where \( f \) is the concentration value

\[ (5.28) \]

5.2.5 Integration of the Governing Equations

The governing PDE’s have to be integrated, or discretized, over the solution grid so that the finite values of the flow variables may be predicted at each cell. The discretization process converts the governing PDE’s into algebraic equations. The following figure shows the cell notation that is to be used:

![Cell notation diagram]

Figure 5.05 Cell notation

In this figure we see that all scalar variables are stored at the center of each cell, or rather the scalar variable has the same value throughout that entire cell. The vector variables are stored on
the center of each face of the cell so that fluxes flowing through the cell can be calculated directly.

Once the solution domain has been gridded, each governing equation must be integrated over each cell. Only when the laws of conservation, as well as the turbulent transport equations, are satisfied at each cell (within a tolerable degree) is the solution complete.

For simplicity of coding, all the governing equations are organized into a similar form. This generic form can be written as:

\[
\frac{\bar{U}_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}}{x_j} \cdot S
\]  

The first term represents the convection of any variable, \( \bar{U}_i \), by the mean fluid velocity, \( \mathbf{U} \); the second term represents diffusion where \( \kappa \) is the diffusion coefficient; and the third term is a source or sink term where \( \mathbf{S} \) is either created or destroyed. When integrating over a control volume we obtain:

\[
\bar{U}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot dA - \frac{\mathbf{A}}{h_w} \cdot dV = SdV 
\]  

The calculation of these integrals is the center of the discretization process. Figure 5.05 shows a single orthogonal cell and some of its neighbors. With a nonstaggered grid, all variables are stored at the center of the cell at point P. Neighboring points include points E, W, WW, and so on. In the schemes that follow, a lower case subscript (n, e, s, w) refers to values at the appropriate face whereas an upper case subscript (N, E, S, W) refers to values at the appropriate cell centers.

5.2.5.1 Treatment of the diffusion terms

The diffusion term is the simplest to integrate. By looking at the diffusive flux at the west face of the cell we can write:

\[
\frac{\mathbf{A}}{h_w} \cdot \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{W}
\]  

Where \( h_w \) is the distance between cell centers. The above equation can be rewritten as:
Such that $D_w$ is the west-face diffusion coefficient. There is a diffusion coefficient for each face of the cell.

5.2.5.2 Treatment of the convective terms

The integration of the convective (sometimes referred to as advective) term is achieved by employing the upwind differencing scheme. Here, the value of the flow variable at a cell interface is equal to the flow variable on the upwind side of the face. Consider convection through the west face of the cell (where the fluid enters from the west neighboring cell):

\[
\frac{A_w}{h_w} \cdot p_w - D_w \cdot p_w = F_w \cdot v_w
\]  

\[(5.34)\]

Such that $F_w$ is the west face convection coefficient. Again, there are convection coefficients for each face of the cell.

By combining the effects of both convection and diffusion the finite volume equation is formulated:

\[
a_p \cdot p - a_m \cdot S = \sum S_i
\]  

\[(5.35)\]

The coefficients ($a_p$ etc.) that express the contribution of convection and diffusion across the cell boundaries are called matrix coefficients. Each matrix coefficient is simply the sum of both diffusion and convection coefficients. The value of the convection coefficient is determined by the direction from which fluid enters the cell.

5.2.6 Solution of the Finite Volume Equations

Having covered the derivation of all linearized equations from the governing partial differential equations, the process by which they are solved will now be explained. An iterative process is used, starting from an initial estimate of the values of all variables at each cell through to the converged solution where the final values obey their respective conservation equations to within an acceptable degree of accuracy.

The solution process consists of two loops. An initial guess, or initial condition, is taken for the values of all variables at each cell. The two loops are then iterated in a nested manner. The inner
loop solves the linearized equations for each variable in turn at each cell, assuming all other fields are fixed. The outer loop involves updating all variable fields with the values calculated in the inner loop. As this process progresses, the flow field approaches its final or converged state. The iterative process stops when the errors in all governing equations reach acceptably small values.

5.2.6.1 The inner iteration

The inner iteration consists of taking each variable in turn, while assuming all the others to be fixed, passing the relevant equations for each cell to a Gauss Siedel equation solver. All updated variable values are not passed on to the other linearized equations until completion of the inner loop, and it is within the outer loop that this takes place.

At some point the iterative process must be terminated so that the inner iteration can proceed onto the next variable. Criterion must be met before the inner iterations for a given variable stops. Either the total number of inner iterations is reached or the reduction in the residual error (the amount by which the current governing conservation equation is not satisfied) for the given variable reaches a tenth of the level when the inner iteration began.

5.2.6.2 The outer iteration

Once the inner loop has been completed, i.e., each variable taken in turn and iteratively solved until the stopping criterion has been met, the outer iteration is performed. The main aim of the outer loop is to update all variables in all equations by the values calculated in the inner loop. It is within this outer loop that the velocity–pressure–coupling algorithm, SIMPLE, is implemented. This predicts the correct value for cell pressure based on the current cell momentum (Patankar, 1980).

The outer loop is stopped when the problem is said to have converged. This occurs when the residual errors for all equations are acceptably small (i.e., 0.5 percent of the inlet flux for each variable).
5.3 Nomenclature

Fluctuating component of variable

\( \text{Mean component of variable} \)

\( \delta \)

Kronecker delta (1 if \( i = j \) else = 0)

Coefficient of thermal expansion

Density

Rate of dissipation of turbulence energy

Shear stress

Thermal diffusivity

Viscosity

\( \tau \)

Turbulent Prandtl number

\( \text{Effective viscosity} \) \( + \) \( \tau \)

\( \text{Eddy diffusivity} \)

\( \text{Eddy viscosity} \)

\( C_{1-3}, \ k \)

Turbulence model constant(s)

\( g \)

Acceleration due to gravity

\( H \)

Enthalpy

\( k \)

Turbulence energy

\( P \)

Pressure

\( T \)

Temperature

\( U_r \)

Velocity tensor

\( \chi_r \)

Distance tensor